

CREDIT OPINION

28 June 2018

 Rate this Research

Contacts

Francis A Mamo +1.212.553.3826
Analyst
frank.mamo@moodys.com

Matthew Butler +1.312.706.9970
VP-Senior Analyst
matthew.butler@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653

Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077

Japan 81-3-5408-4100

EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Westerville (City of) OH

Update to credit analysis

Summary

The [City of Westerville](#) (Aaa) is an above average income suburb of [Columbus](#) (Aaa stable) with a very strong financial position. The city continues to see steady growth in its tax base, as well as key revenue sources, given expansion of its own economy and growth in the Columbus metropolitan area. Fund balance and liquidity, relative to revenue, are far higher than most local governments and enhance the city's flexibility to address fluctuations in economically sensitive income taxes. The city's economic and financial strengths mitigate its high debt and pension burdens, which will continue to exceed those of rating peers.

Credit strengths

- » Sizeable tax base within the Columbus metropolitan area
- » Steady revenue growth
- » Strong fund balance and liquidity mitigate dependence on economically sensitive income taxes

Credit challenges

- » Debt and pension burdens are high for the rating category
- » Dependence on economically sensitive income taxes

Rating outlook

Outlooks are typically not assigned to local governments with this amount of debt.

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Significant declines in financial reserves
- » Growth in the city's debt or pension burdens

Key indicators

Exhibit 1

| Westerville (City of) OH | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Economy/Tax Base | | | | | |
| Total Full Value (\$000) | \$2,897,093 | \$2,916,740 | \$2,949,973 | \$3,022,061 | \$3,006,221 |
| Population | 36,415 | 36,778 | 37,101 | 37,540 | 38,089 |
| Full Value Per Capita | \$79,558 | \$79,307 | \$79,512 | \$80,502 | \$78,926 |
| Median Family Income (% of US Median) | 153.7% | 153.7% | 157.0% | 155.0% | 155.3% |
| Finances | | | | | |
| Operating Revenue (\$000) | \$55,230 | \$59,833 | \$61,289 | \$63,071 | \$65,912 |
| Fund Balance (\$000) | \$37,725 | \$40,661 | \$39,296 | \$40,907 | \$39,181 |
| Cash Balance (\$000) | \$36,417 | \$38,028 | \$39,796 | \$37,429 | \$39,362 |
| Fund Balance as a % of Revenues | 68.3% | 68.0% | 64.1% | 64.9% | 59.4% |
| Cash Balance as a % of Revenues | 65.9% | 63.6% | 64.9% | 59.3% | 59.7% |
| Debt/Pensions | | | | | |
| Net Direct Debt (\$000) | \$34,074 | \$48,551 | \$59,085 | \$62,874 | \$51,745 |
| 3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000) | \$153,004 | \$177,496 | \$182,172 | \$177,166 | \$179,819 |
| Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%) | 1.2% | 1.7% | 2.0% | 2.1% | 1.7% |
| Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x) | 0.6x | 0.8x | 1.0x | 1.0x | 0.8x |
| Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%) | 5.3% | 6.1% | 6.2% | 5.9% | 6.0% |
| Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x) | 2.8x | 3.0x | 3.0x | 2.8x | 2.7x |

Total full value was \$3.4 billion as of 2017.

Source: Audited financial statements, Moody's Investors Service, U.S. Census Bureau

Profile

The City of Westerville is located in [Franklin](#) (Aaa stable) and [Delaware](#) (Aaa stable) counties, and serves an estimated population of 38,000.

Detailed credit considerations

Economy and tax base: large Columbus suburb with established commercial sector

The tax base and local economy will continue to derive strength from the city's ties to the expanding Columbus metropolitan area, which has supported development of a mature and strong business base within Westerville itself. Westerville remains in large part a residential bedroom community of Columbus. Fully valued at \$3.4 billion as of 2017, the city's tax base grew at an average annual rate of 3% over the past five years due to a mix of appreciating property values and new commercial development. Resident incomes are strong, with median family income equal to 155% of the US median.

The region's economy is diverse, with major employers crossing the health care, higher education, government, and financial services sectors. The city's own unemployment rate, as of March 2018, was low at 2.9% and total employment has grown for six consecutive years. Top employers in the city include [JPMorgan Chase & Co.](#) (A3 stable), Mount Carmel Health Systems, and Excel Logistics. Close proximity to Columbus, which itself continues to realize expansion of its very diverse base, will support economic stability in suburbs such as Westerville.

Financial operations and reserves: very strong financial reserves

The city's financial position will remain strong. The city recorded eight consecutive operating surpluses through fiscal 2013, after which it began to transfer excess fund balance and liquidity out of its general fund to finance non-recurring capital investments. While audited financial statements are not yet available for fiscal 2017, unaudited results show an operating surplus that brought available

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

fund balance to \$44.1 million, or 63% of revenue. In fiscal 2018, officials expect another year of surplus operations and growing reserves.

Local income taxes make up approximately half of annual revenue. The city's income tax rate is 2.0%, in line with the median income tax rate for other municipalities in Franklin and Delaware counties (2.0%). The city dedicates 0.25% of its 2.0% income tax to parks and recreation purposes. Over the past five years, income taxes grew at a compound annual rate of 3.8%.

LIQUIDITY

The cash balance across all operating funds was \$39.4 million, or 60% of annual revenue, at the close of fiscal 2016.¹ Unaudited results show cash growing to \$43.5 million, or 62% of revenue in fiscal 2017.

Debt and pensions: heightened debt and pension burden will remain a credit challenge

The city's debt and pension burdens are high compared to Aaa rated cities. Following an upcoming sale, the city's net direct debt will total \$75.4 million, or 2.2% of full value and 1.1x operating revenue. Given minimal additional borrowing plans, the city's debt burden is expected to moderate moving forward. Moody's three-year average adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the city is 5.8% of full value and 2.8x operating revenue. The ANPL is our measure of a local government's pension burden that uses a market-based interest rate to value accrued pension liabilities. Fixed costs, consisting of annual debt service and retirement contributions, consumed approximately 20% of operating revenue in fiscal 2016. The city's debt burden is net of self-supporting general obligation debt paid from net revenue of the city's utilities.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All of the city's debt is fixed rate and approximately two-thirds of principal is scheduled to be repaid within 10 years.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The city has no derivatives.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

City employees are members of the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) and the Ohio Police & Fire Pension Fund (OP&F). In 2012, the state legislature adopted benefit reforms for all Ohio cost-sharing plans that highlighted the state's flexible legal framework surrounding public pension benefits. Ohio statute establishes a 30-year target for amortizing unfunded liabilities of all statewide cost-sharing plans. If plan actuaries determine current contribution rates and actuarial assumptions result in an amortization period exceeding 30 years, the pension fund must submit a plan for adjusting contributions or benefits to meet the 30-year requirement. The 2012 reforms amended annual cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) for retirees, resulting in a considerable decline in reported unfunded liabilities in 2013.

Notwithstanding the positive impact of the 2012 reforms, unfunded liabilities of OPERS and OP&F remain high. The combined Moody's ANPL of the two plans grew 6.4% from 2016 to 2017. Further, statewide employer contributions to both plans fell below amounts necessary to forestall growth in reported net pension liabilities assuming other plan assumptions hold, that is, tread water.² Ohio statutes establish local government retirement plan contributions as a share of annual payroll. In fiscal 2017 those contributions were 83% and 87% of the amounts needed to tread water in OPERS and OP&F, respectively.

Management and governance: moderate institutional framework enhanced by strong reserve policy

The city's strong fund balance policies and multiyear financial planning enhance flexibility to respond to fluctuations in income taxes while addressing local capital needs. City policy mandates a general fund balance of no less than 50% of operating expenditures, ensuring the city retains a very robust financial cushion. This is a very strong fund balance floor, even among Ohio cities, and mitigates risk associated with dependence on income taxes, which is factored in our institutional framework assessment of Ohio local governments.

Ohio cities have an institutional framework score of "A," or moderate. The volatility of income taxes, typically the primary source of operating revenue, results in low revenue predictability. Cities also rely on voter-approved property taxes to support activities such as public safety and street maintenance. Cities have a moderate ability to raise revenues, as voter authorization is necessary to raise income tax rates above 1%. Cities can also increase property tax rates above their charter caps with voter authorization. Expenditures

mostly consist of personnel costs, which are moderately predictable. However, these costs tend to be impacted by labor agreements, resulting in moderate expenditure reduction ability.

Endnotes

- [1](#) The city's operating funds include the general, debt service, fire, parks and recreation operating, and parks and recreation income tax funds.
- [2](#) Our "tread water" indicator measures the annual government contribution required to prevent reported net pension liabilities from growing, given the entity's actuarial assumptions. An annual government contribution that treads water equals the sum of employer service cost and interest on the reported net pension liability at the start of the fiscal year. A pension plan that receives an employer contribution equal to the tread water indicator will end the year with an unchanged net pension liability relative to the beginning of the year if all plan assumptions hold. Net liabilities may decrease or increase in a given year due to factors other than the contribution amount, such as investment performance that exceeds or falls short of a plan's assumed rate of return. Still, higher contributions will always reduce unfunded liabilities faster, or will allow unfunded liabilities to grow more slowly than lower contributions.

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJJK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJJK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJJK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

CLIENT SERVICES

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Americas | 1-212-553-1653 |
| Asia Pacific | 852-3551-3077 |
| Japan | 81-3-5408-4100 |
| EMEA | 44-20-7772-5454 |